



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS PO. Box 1450

·	STATES 18 1			P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov		
ij	APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	10/008,716		11/13/2001	Jonathan S. Stinson	23,369-134	5960
	23452	7590	05/16/2003			
	PATENT I		RTMENT	CDEN LTD	EXAMI	NER

PATENT DEPARTMENT LARKIN, HOFFMAN, DALY & LINDGREN, LTD. 1500 WELLS FARGO PLAZA 7900 XERXES AVENUE SOUTH BLOOMINGTON, MN 55431

BARRETT, THOMAS C

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

3738

DATE MAILED: 05/16/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				_ 6-6					
		Application No.	Applicant(s)						
		10/008,716	STINSON ET AL.						
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
		Thomas C. Barrett	3738						
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status									
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·							
2a)□	This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
4)🖂	Claim(s) 35-63 is/are pending in the application	on.							
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra								
	Claim(s) <u>53-55</u> is/are allowed.		•						
· _	Claim(s) <u>35-52 and 56-62</u> is/are rejected.								
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>63</u> is/are objected to.									
·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement							
	ion Papers	· oroston roquiromone.							
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.							
10) 🔲 .	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.								
	Applicant may not request that any objection to th	e drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) 🔲 .	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.								
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in re	oly to this Office action.							
12) 🔲 .	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).									
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:								
	1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received in A	pplication No						
* \$	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
14)□ A	§ 119(e) (to a provisional applic	cation).							
а	a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.								
Attachment(s)									
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of	Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	→ ·					
U.S. Patent and T PTO-326 (Re		ction Summary	Part of Paper	No. 4					

Application/Control Number: 10/008,716

Art Unit: 3738

DETAILED ACTION

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claims 35, 40-49, 56 and 58-60 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 16-19, 21, and 23-32 of U.S. Patent No. 6,251,135 B1. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 16-19, 21, and 23-32 of '135 anticipate the claims cited above.

Claims 35-39, 50-52, 56-57 and 61-62 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 34, 2, 6, 11 and 20-21 of U.S. Patent No. 6,340,367. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claims 34, 2, 6, 11 and 20-21 of '367 anticipate the claims cited above. Please note that a "radiopaque portion" is inherently visible upon fluoroscopic visualization. Furthermore, the use of

Art Unit: 3738

fluoroscopy in aiding the deployment of an endoprosthesis is well known to ones of ordinary skill in the art.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claim 63 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claims 53-55 are allowed over prior art of record. The prior art does not teach or make obvious the claimed temporary marker comprising a radiopaque elongate strand of the claimed dimensions that is removable by pulling its proximal portion.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Thomas C. Barrett whose telephone number is (703) 308-8295. The examiner can normally be reached Tuesday-Friday between 9:00 A.M. and 6:00 P.M.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Corrine McDermott can be reached on (703) 308-2111. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 305-3580 for regular communications.

Art Unit: 3738

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308 0850.

Thomas Barrett May 14, 2003

> CORRINE McDERMOTT SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700